

CITY BIO DIVERSITY INDEX & ITS LINKAGE TO REAL ESTATE PRICING

- Mr. Manohar Velpuri, Singapore
- Mdm. Anusha Pidugu, Singapore

Disclaimer : This presentation is for information only and cannot be construed as representations, terms or warranties of any companies authors are appointed in any way whatsoever. This presentation is for your information only and does not have regard to the specific investment objectives, financial situation and particular needs of any per sons.





SCOPE

- To understand direct impacts of biodiversity degradation on commercial real estate.
- A quantification method by linking biodiversity indices to real estate market indices
- To analyze prices in global real estate market





BIODIVERSITY HOTSPOTS - ASIA





CITY BIODIVERSITY INDEX - SINGAPORE

The index is not restricted to cities and can be applied at different scales.

For example Singapore has used the index at the sub-city level, in the master planning of the new districts.

Master planning of the country like Singapore also supported its real estate market representatives to comprehensively in development of real estate pricing index.

There were 23 indicators that were considered in the development of the Singapore's city biodiversity index. (COP11, 2012; Lena chan 2012)



Cities which have provided their results for the Singapore Cities which have agreed to apply the Singapore Index Index

1. Belgium: Brussels 17. Japan: Kobe **Capital Region** 2. Brazil: Curitiba 3. Canada: Calgary 4. Canada: Edmonton 5. Canada: Montreal 23. Japan: Tokyo 6. Estonia: Tallinn 7. France: Montpellier 8. Germany: Frankfurt 9. Germany: Heidelberg 10. India: Mira Bhavandar 11. Indonesia: Bandung 12. Japan: Chiba 13. Japan: Fukuoka 14. Japan: Hiroshima 15. Japan: Kawasaki 16. Japan: Kitakyusyu

18. Japan: Kyoto 19. Japan: Nagoya 20. Japan: Osaka 21. Japan: Sapporo 22. Japan: Sendai 24. Japan: Yokohoma 25. New Zealand: Auckland 26. New Zealand: Hamilton 27. New Zealand: Waitakere City 28. Portugal: Lisbon 29. Singapore 30. South Africa: Durban 31. Thailand: Bangkok 32. Thailand: Chiang Mai 33. Thailand: Krabi 34. Thailand: Phuket 35. United Kingdom: Edinburgh 36. United Kingdom: London

1. Australia: Joondalup 2. Cambodia: Phnom Penh

- 3. Cambodia: Siem Reap
- 4. Canada: Calgary
- 5. Canada: Ottawa
- 6. Canada: Vancouver
- 7. China: HePing
- 8. China: Hong Kong

9. European cities participating in the **European Capitals of Biodiversity**

Competition (from five countries -France, Germany, Hungary, Spain and

Slovakia)

- 10. Finland: Helsinki
- 11. France: French Regions
- 12. France: Paris
- 13. Guat
- 14. India: Hyderabad 15. India: Thane Municipal Corporatio
- 🖌 India: Visakhapatnam
- 17. Indonesia: Lauans
- 18. Indonesia: Pekanbaru
- 19. Israel: Jerusalem
- 20. Lao PDR: Luang Prabang
- 21. Lao PDR: Vientiane
- 22. Lao PDR: Xayaboury
- 23. Malaysia: Kuantan

25. Mexico: Mexico City 26. Netherlands: Amsterdam 27. New Zealand: Plymouth 28. New Zealand: Wellington 29. Philippines: Iloilo City 30. Philippines: Puerto Princesa City 31. Philippines: Quezon City 32. Portugal: Porto 33. South Africa: Johannesburg 34. Spain: Barcelona 35. Spain: Ourense 36. Sweden: Stockholm 37. Sweden: Malmo 38. USA: New York 39. Viet Nam: Danang 40. Viet Nam: Hanoi



Indicator 1: proportion of natural areas in city	Indicator 15: budget allocated to biodiversity
Indicator 2: connectivity measures or ecological networks to counter fragmentation	Indicator 16: number of biodiversity projects implemented by the city annually
Indicator 3: native biodiversity in built-up areas bird species	Indicator 17: policy, rules and regulations – existence of local biodiversity strategy and action plan
Indicators 4-8: change in number of native species vascular plants, birds, butterflies, reptiles, fresh water fishes	Indicator 18: institutional capacity: number of essential biodiversity-related functionaries in the city
Indicator 9: proportion of protected natural areas	Indicator 19: institutional capacity: number of city or local government agencies involved in inter-agency cooperation pertaining to biodiversity matters
Indicator 10: proportion of invasive alien species (as opposed to native species)	Indicator 20: participation and partnership existence and state of formal or informal public consultation process
Indicator 11: regulation of quantity of water	Indicator 21: participation and partnership number of agencies/ private companies/ ngos/ academic institutions/ international organisations with which the city is partnering in biodiversity activities, projects and programmes
Indicator 12: climate regulation: carbon storage and cooling effect of vegetation	Indicator 22: Is biodiversity or nature awareness is included in the school curriculum (e.g. biology, geography, etc.)
Indicator 13: recreational and educational services (area of parks with natural areas and protected or secured natural areas)*/ 1000 persons)	Indicator 23: Number of outreach or public awareness events held in the city per year
Indicator 14: recreational and educational services (number of formal educational visits per child to parks)	



CITY BIODIVERSITY INDEX - HYDERABAD



13 - Recreational and Educational services through area of parks

- 14 Recreational and educational services through educational visits of children
- 15 Budget allocations for biodiversity
- 16 Number of biodiversity projects implemented in the city per year
- 17 Policy, Rules and Regulations
- 8 Institutional capacity: Essential biodiversity-related fur
- 19 Institutional capacity: Inter-agency cooperation
- 20 Public consultation process
- 21 Institutional partnership
- 22 Inclusion of Biodiversity awareness in the school curriculum
- 23 Number of outreach or public awareness events
- 12 Climate Regulation: Carbon storage and cooling effect of vegetation



CITY BIO DIVERSITY INDEX (CBI)INDICATORS - REAL ESTATE INDEX PRICING

Indicators of city biodiversity index (CBI) that have direct or indirect linkage to real estate prices are

•Indicator 1 – proportion of natural areas in the city

•Indicator 3 – native biodiversity in built-up areas

•Indicator 9 – proportion of protected natural areas

•Indicator 11- regulation of quantity of water

•Indicator 12 – climate regulation carbon storage and cooling effect of vegetation that aids corporate sustainability strategy of a company.

•Indicator 13-14 : recreational and educational services

•Indicator 15 – budget allocated to biodiversity in cities

•Indicator 17 – policy, rules & regulations : existence of local biodiversity strategy & action plan.

•Declining trends in overall ecosystem health is of direct concern to businesses not only because many depend on related services, either directly or indirectly, but also because the degradation of ecosystems can present some of the following risks or opportunities. (Carolyne Lane, 2009)



RISKS AND INDICATORS

Operational	Regulatory and legal
Risks: Indicator 11- regulation of quantity of water leads to risks such as higher costs for services as	Risks: Fines, new user fees, etc to companies
regulations in quality of water whenever the	Opportunities: Indicator 17 – policy, rules and
quantity of water is scarce.	regulations : existence of local biodiversity strategy and action plan upon which a company depends
Opportunities: Planning for water use efficiency and cost savings	
Marketing and Sales	Finance
Risk: Change in the purchasing patterns as companies being targeted by non-governmental organizations that result in degradations to natural systems, Customers switching to other suppliers that offer products with lower ecosystem impacts.	
biodiversity projects implemented by the city annually as it is a measure of communicating	Opportunities: Indicators 20-21: Participation and partnership is a measure of banks or government agencies offering incentives to those companies that adopt initiatives that reduce environmental impacts or are aimed at enhancing degraded ecosystems.



TOTAL ECONOMIC VALUE - BIODIVERSITY

• Total economic value of an environmental resource (ecosystem) consists of its use value (UV) and non-use value (NUV).

- A use value (UV) is a value arising from an actual use made of a given resource.
- Use values are further divided in to direct use values (DUV), which refer to actual uses ;
- Indirect use value (IUV) which refer to the benefits deriving from ecosystem functions
- Option value (OV) which is a value approximating an individual's willingness to pay to safeguard an asset for the option of using it at a future date, like an insurance value.
- NUV are usually divided between a bequest value (BV) and an existence or 'passive' use value (XV).
- The total economic value : TEV = UV+NUV=(DUV+IUV+OV)+(XV+BV)



TOTAL ECONOMIC VALUE - BIODIVERSITY

•The ecosystem valuation methods that relates to real estate are hedonic methods that consider housing market and the extra amount paid for higher environmental quality.

•The price of a house is related to the

characteristics of the house and property itself,

characteristics of the neighborhood and community,

environmental characteristics.

•Some of the indicators of CBI can be directly linked to the quality indicators in the real estate pricing index.



GLOBAL BIODIVERSITY HOTSPOTS – REAL ESTATE PRICING





CONCLUSIONS – FURTHER RESEARCH

Studies in North America also confirmed that biodiversity affects real estate value "the Halstead Property Company, the single amenity that added the most value to a Manhattan apartment was a good park or river view. Based on the study, a view above the tree line allowed identical apartments to sell for as much as 20 percent higher" (Patrick L.Phillips, 2000)

REFERENCES

XXV FIG Congress

" Engaging the Challenges, Enhancing the Relevance 16 - 21 JUNE 2014, MALAYSIA

Armelle guignier, (2011), "Conserving biodiversity and sustaining livelihoods in the Terraba - Sierpe river basin - a legal perspective on biodiversity, water and ecosystems"- sustainable livelihoods and biodiversity in developing countries
Brenneisen, S. (2006), "Space for Urban Wildlife: Designing Green Roofs as Habitats in Switzerland. Urban Habitats: Green

Roofs and Biodiversity" Vol. 4. <u>http://www.urbanhabitats.org/v04n01/wildlife_full.html</u>
 Carolyne Lane, (2009), "Biodiversity and the Canadian commercial real estate industry", Real property association of Canada

•Carolyne Lane, (2009), "Biodiversity and the Canadian commercial real estate industry", Real property association of Canada •Chau K.W. (2006) Index Construction Method for the University of Hong Kong All

Residential Price Index (HKAPI) and its sub indices (HKU-HRPI, HKU-KRPI, HKU-KRPI), Versitech limited, The University of Hong Kong;

•Conference of the Parties to the Convention on Biological diversity "Progress report on the Singapore index on cities biodiversity", UNEP/CBD/COP/11/INF/45, Eleventh meeting, Hyderabad, India, 8–19 October 2012

•Conference of the Parties to the Convention on Biological diversity "Available guidance and guidelines on ecosystem restoration ", UNEP/CBD/COP/11/INF/17, Eleventh meeting, Hyderabad, India, 8–19 October 2012

•J.A.G. Jaeger, T. Soukup, L.F. Madriñán, C. Schwick, F. Kienast, "European Environment Agency & Swiss Federal Office for the Environment (2011): Landscape fragmentation in Europe" Joint EEA-FOEN report. EEA Report No 2/2011, ISSN 1725-9177, ISBN 978-92-9213-215-6, doi:10.2800/78322. Luxembourg, Publications Office of the European Union. 87 pp. Available in printed form and as PDF, online: <u>http://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/landscape-fragmentation-in-europe/</u>

•Jaeger, J., Bertiller, R. and Schwick, C. (2007): Degree of landscape fragmentation in Switzerland: Quantitative analysis 1885–2002 and implications for traffic planning and regional planning. Condensed version. Swiss Federal Statistical Office, Neuchâtel, 36pp. <u>http://www.bfs.admin.ch/bfs/portal/en/index/themen/02/22/publ.html?publicationID=2992</u>.



REFERENCES

•Jaeger, J. A. G., Bertiller, R., Schwick, C., Müller, K., Steinmeier, C., Ewald, K. C. and Ghazoul, J. (2008): Implementing landscape fragmentation as an indicator in the Swiss Monitoring System of Sustainable Development (MONET). Journal of Environmental Management, vol. 88, issue 4, pp. 737–751.

•Lena Chan and Wendy Yap " User's manual for the city biodiversity index, 18th April 2012, Singapore national parks board revised version on status of Singapore biodiversity index.

•Lum Sau Kim (2011), The Impact of Land Supply and Public Housing Provision on the Private Housing Market in Singapore, "BOK-IMF Workshop on Managing Real Estate Booms and Busts, Seoul;

•Patrick L.Phillips,(2000) "Real estate impacts of urban parks", economics research associates

•Planning for Biodiversity and Geological Conservation – A Guide to Good Practice, 2006 Office of the Deputy Prime Minister: London

•Deloitte - "Property index Overview of European Residential markets- How do Europeans live and for how much?" 2012

•Rachel F. Holt and Chuck Rumsey, "ecological baseline assessment", 2009 prepared for EBMWG as final report by Veridian Ecological Consulting Ltd.

•Sarkar, Sahotra, Pressey, Robert L., Faith, Daniel P., Margules, Christopher R., Fuller, Trevon, Stoms, David M., Moffett, Alexander, Wilson, Kerrie A., Williams, Kristen, Williams, Paul H. and Andelman, Sandy, "Biodiversity Conservation Planning Tools: Present Status and Challenges for the Future" Annual Review of Environment and Resources, Vol. 31, November 2006. Available at SSRN: <u>http://ssrn.com/abstract=1081476</u>

•Sahotra Sarkar, Chris Margules, "Operationalizing biodiversity for conservation planning", Journal of Biosciences, July 2002, Volume 27, Issue 4, pp 299-308

•Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity, Thomas Elmqvist. (2012) "Cities and Biodiversity Outlook 2012: Action and Policy, A global assessment of the links between urbanization, biodiversity and ecosystem services", ISBN 92-9225-432-2. http://www.cbd.int/en/subnational/partners-and-initiatives/cbo.

•Taylor, P.D., Fahrig, L., Henein, K., Merriam, G. (1993): Connectivity is a vital element of landscape structure. Oikos, vol. 28, issue 3, pp. 571–573.

www.aboutinflation.com



AUTHORS

•Manohar Velpuri

Secretary , commission 9, FIG Office Kalvebod Brygge 31-33 DK-1780 Copenhagen V Direct: + 6585802812 research email : 1) manohar.velpuri@gmail.com email: 2) mano_velpuri@hotmail.com

•Anusha Pidugu

Director, Absolutum Pte Ltd 10 Anson Road, Singapore email: anusha.chandrika@gmail.com

Disclaimer : This presentation is for information only and cannot be construed as representations, terms or warranties of any companies authors are appointed in any way whatsoever. This presentation is for your information only and does not have regard to the specific investment objectives, financial situation and particular needs of any per sons.