

Integrating Quality Assurance Systems in Natural Disaster Management a Key in Achieving Sustainable Development Goals: Case Study of Zimbabwe 2019 Chimanimani Floods

Kudakwashe NYAKUTYA, Botswana and Buhlebenkosi MOYO, Zimbabwe

Key words: Quality Assurance; Disaster Management; Sustainable Development Goals; Remote Sensing; Urban Resilience.

SUMMARY

Globally floods are among the most frequent and leading costly natural disasters. The flood disasters are not economically restricted but affect both poor nations as well as developed countries. In this presentation, I explore how the integration of quality assurance frameworks into natural disaster management practices could be of great benefit in managing natural disasters specifically focusing on Zimbabwe 2019 Chimanimani floods. I argue on how effective Quality assurance systems can be integrated within the framework of natural disaster management leading towards attaining the Sustainable Development Goals through improved disaster preparedness, response and recovery practices. Through a study of Zimbabwe's historical and current disaster management practices, I explore how the nation faces several challenges through resource limitations and coordination issues. I delve into the principles and standards of quality assurance, the evolution of quality assurance practices and their role in mitigating the impacts of disasters. I discussed how Quality assurance systems can facilitate the development of standardized protocols and procedures that enable swift and coordinated flood disaster responses. Lessons learned from Zimbabwe's 2019 Chimanimani floods have revealed how robust quality assurance practices can improve preparedness, response strategies, and resource optimization, ultimately leading to reduced vulnerabilities and increased resilience in affected communities. The Chimanimani case study demonstrates how Quality Assurance Systems integration in disaster management directly contributes towards SDG 11: Sustainable Cities and Communities, and SDG 13: Climate Action. Through this study I provided insights into best practices and actionable recommendations aimed at fostering a culture of quality in disaster management. These recommendations can serve as a standard model for other regions facing similar natural disaster challenges hence moving towards attaining the Sustainable Development Goals.

Integrating Quality Assurance Systems in Natural Disaster Management a Key in Achieving Sustainable Development Goals: Case Study of Zimbabwe 2019 Chimanimani Floods

Kudakwashe NYAKUTYA, Botswana and Buhlebenkosi MOYO, Zimbabwe

1. INTRODUCTION

Natural disasters pose significant threats to societies around the world, impacting lives, economies, and the environment. As global climate change continues to exacerbate these threats, the need for robust disaster management strategies has become increasingly urgent. Within the realm of disaster management, quality assurance emerges as a critical component, ensuring that strategies and actions are not only effective but also efficient and reliable. Quality assurance in this context refers to the systematic processes that enhance the preparedness, response, and recovery phases of disaster management, ultimately aiming to minimize the adverse effects of disasters on communities. Zimbabwe, a country located in Southern Africa, has become a focal point for studies on disaster management due to its frequent and varied natural disasters. The country's geographical positioning and socio-economic challenges make it particularly vulnerable to events such as cyclones, droughts, and floods. The Cyclone Idai in 2019 was one of the most devastating natural disasters to hit Zimbabwe, causing widespread destruction and highlighting the critical need for effective disaster management practices (Mhlanga & Chirisa, 2025). This paper aims to demonstrate the critical role of quality assurance in enhancing disaster management outcomes in Zimbabwe. By systematically applying quality assurance measures, Zimbabwe can transform its approach to managing natural disasters, ultimately safeguarding its communities and fostering sustainable development.

2. BACKGROUND OF NATURAL DISASTERS IN ZIMBABWE

Natural disasters have been a recurring challenge for Zimbabwe, a country located in southern Africa. The Southern African country has been prone to a variety of natural calamities, including cyclones, droughts, and floods, which have significant impacts on the country's socio-economic fabric. Cyclone Idai, which struck in March 2019, is one of the most devastating cyclones to affect the country. It resulted in over 600 deaths and displaced thousands, causing widespread destruction of infrastructure and livelihoods (Mavhura, 2018).

The cyclone highlighted the vulnerability of Zimbabwe to tropical storms and underscored the need for robust disaster management systems. In addition to cyclones, Zimbabwe frequently faces droughts, which have severe repercussions on agriculture, the backbone of its economy. The droughts of 1991-1992 and 2015-2016 were particularly severe, leading to food shortages

and economic strain (Mashizha et al., 2022). These droughts exacerbate the challenges of food security and economic stability, necessitating the implementation of sustainable water management and agricultural practices.

Zimbabwe's disaster management landscape is fraught with numerous challenges that hinder effective response and recovery efforts. One of the primary issues is resource constraints. The country's economic challenges, including hyperinflation and limited financial resources, restrict the government's ability to invest in disaster preparedness and response mechanisms (Munsaka et al., 2021). Policy gaps further complicate disaster management in Zimbabwe. The existing frameworks, such as the Civil Protection Act of 1989, are often criticized for being reactive rather than proactive (Dube, 2015). There is a pressing need to update these policies to incorporate modern disaster risk reduction strategies and legislative support for disaster preparedness frameworks (Bongo & Manyena, 2015). Additionally, the integration of local knowledge and community-based approaches into policy-making remains limited, despite their potential to enhance resilience and adaptability.

Zimbabwe's experience with natural disasters highlights the need for a multifaceted approach to disaster management. Addressing resource constraints, policy gaps, and socio-economic impacts through improved management practices can enhance resilience and reduce vulnerability. By integrating quality assurance measures, leveraging local knowledge, and fostering collaboration among stakeholders, Zimbabwe can build a robust disaster management system that effectively mitigates risks and enhances preparedness and recovery efforts.

3. DEFINITION OF KEY TERM

3.1 Quality Assurance (QA) Definition

Quality Assurance (QA) traditionally associated with manufacturing and service industries, can be defined as a proactive systematic process ensuring the intended products and provided services consistently meet specified standards and customer expectations. Unlike quality control (QC) which focus on detects errors only, QA focuses on building quality in from the start, encompassing planning, auditing, and process management, guided by frameworks like ISO 9000, to ensure processes or works are done right first time. This Quality Assurance system has gone through evolution phases since its recognized inception period of 1960s up to date.

The evolution moved from medieval craft guilds, the self-policing by producers through industrial-era inspections, the Quality control phase to process-focused prevention, the Quality Assurance culminating in holistic Total Quality Management (TQM), Six Sigma, and Lean methodologies. The current phase now integrates Industrial technologies like AI for predictive and data-driven quality systems. This evolution as mentioned before saw a shift

from only detecting defects to preventing them entirely hence calling for embedding quality into every organizational process.

3.2 Quality Assurance (QA) Definition in Disaster Management context

Defining quality assurance in disaster management context involves understanding the quality assurance key components. According to Mitra (2016), quality assurance encompasses both the philosophical and practical aspects of quality management. It integrates statistical concepts into management-oriented techniques, which are essential for evaluating the effectiveness of disaster management strategies. Fundamental to quality assurance are the concepts of reliability, efficiency, and effectiveness, which together ensure that disaster management operations meet their intended goals (Mitra, 2016). In disaster management approach the quality assurance system is key firstly, to ensure a consistent approach to managing disasters, helping to standardize practices across different regions and disaster types. Secondly, quality assurance enhances accountability and transparency in disaster management processes, fostering trust among stakeholders and the affected communities. Lastly, it plays a crucial role in risk mitigation, allowing for the identification and rectification of potential weaknesses in disaster management plans before they are tested in real-world scenarios (Mavhura, 2018).

4. ADAPTING QUALITY ASSURANCE SYSTEM IN DISASTER MANAGEMENT

Recent studies have highlighted the transformative potential of adaptive quality assurance systems in disaster scenarios, emphasizing their role in bridging gaps between planning and execution (Hemstock et al., 2016). Quality assurance when applied to disaster management through various frameworks ensures prevention and responses to natural disasters are effective and efficient. These Quality Assurance frameworks are built to foster systematic improvements, reduce errors, and enhance accountability, making them particularly suited to the complex and high-stakes environment of disaster management. They enable a systematic process to monitor and evaluate the various components and phases of disaster management, ensuring that every step meets predefined standards of quality. The disaster management phases are namely preventing, preparedness, response, and recovery phases.

Quality assurance system frameworks, such as International Organization for Standardization (ISO) standards and Total Quality Management (TQM), are well-established in various industries and have increasingly begun to be adapted for disaster management purposes. The (ISO) provides a set of standards that can be tailored to disaster management, focusing on quality management systems, risk management, and continuous improvement (ISO, 2020). These standards offer a comprehensive approach to managing quality across all aspects of disaster management, from preparedness to recovery. On the other hand Total Quality Management (TQM) is another framework that emphasizes customer satisfaction, continuous improvement, and employee involvement. In the context of disaster management, TQM can

be applied to ensure that the needs of affected communities are prioritized and that all stakeholders are engaged in the planning and execution of disaster response efforts (Deming, 1986). TQM principles help in creating a culture of quality within disaster management organizations, fostering collaboration and innovation starting from the community affected up to the responsible state organs.

4.1 Quality Assurance Frameworks application in Managing Disasters

The ability to respond swiftly and effectively to natural disasters depends largely on how well-prepared an organization is. Quality Assurance System (QAS) frameworks have helped in enhancing the effective, consistency, reliability, and efficiency of disaster-related practices.

4.1.1 Effectiveness

Through utilization of Quality Assurance systems resources are utilized effectively resulting in the overall disaster management strategy being aligned with the needs of the affected communities. Logistics operations are streamlined and resources are allocated effectively and consistently. This leads to improved outcomes in terms of response times, resource utilization, and the overall effectiveness of disaster management efforts (Asl et al., 2022). When managing disasters accountability is a cornerstone of effective disaster management, as it guarantees that all stakeholders fulfill their responsibilities and adhere to established standards. Quality Assurance systems frameworks ensure accountability by providing clear guidelines and performance metrics..

4.1.2 Reliability

Reliability another core tenet of QA is equally important in the context of disaster management, where the stakes often involve human lives and critical infrastructure. Reliable systems ensure that resources, communication, and operations function effectively under stress. While social media can be a powerful platform for disseminating information during a crisis, it lacks traditional quality assurance measures, which can lead to misinformation and unreliable data. Implementing quality assurance frameworks can enhance the reliability of various disaster management operations and information shared during disaster management efforts, making sure all stakeholders have access to accurate and timely data (Merchant & Lurie, 2020).

4.1.3 Efficiency

Efficiency another key component of disaster management is achieved through the optimization of resources and processes. QAS frameworks enable organizations to streamline their operations, reducing redundancies and improving the overall efficiency of their efforts. Fan et al. (2021) highlight the role of crisis informatics and ICT in enhancing situational assessment and decision-making during disasters hence improving on disaster management efficiency.

4.2 Adapting Quality Assurance Frameworks application in Zimbabwe

Adapting QA frameworks to the Zimbabwean context requires careful consideration of local challenges and needs. One of the key primary considerations in adapting QA frameworks in Zimbabwe is through assessing the integration of local knowledge and practices. The involvement of local communities and stakeholders is crucial in ensuring that disaster management strategies are relevant and effective. By adapting Total Quality Assurance Framework approach local knowledge of affected communities are taken into consideration hence building strong community resilience. According to Mavhura (2018), building interactive databases of high-risk areas and integrating them with local knowledge systems can enhance the effectiveness of disaster risk reduction efforts in Zimbabwe.

The successful implementation of QA frameworks in disaster management can be observed in various regions worldwide. These examples provide valuable lessons and insights that can be applied to the Zimbabwean context. One notable example is Japan, which has effectively implemented ISO standards in its disaster management practices. Japan's comprehensive approach to disaster preparedness and response includes rigorous risk assessments, standardized emergency procedures, and continuous training and evaluation of personnel (Mhlanga & Chirisa, 2025). This systematic approach has contributed to Japan's resilience in the face of frequent natural disasters, such as earthquakes and tsunamis.

Another example is the use of TQM principles in disaster management in the United States. The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) has adopted TQM practices to enhance coordination and communication among stakeholders, streamline processes, and improve the overall quality of disaster response efforts (Munsaka et al., 2021). This has resulted in more efficient and effective disaster management operations, reducing response times and improving outcomes for affected communities.

Disaster Management Quality Assurance Systems role in Sustainable Development Goals.

The integration of Quality Assurance into disaster management plays a pivotal role in advancing the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly SDG 11 which focuses on Sustainable Cities and Communities and SDG 13 focuses on Climate Action. By embedding Quality Assurance principles into disaster management practices, stakeholders can align their efforts with these global goals, creating systems that not only respond effectively to disasters but also contribute to broader sustainable development objectives.

4.2.1 Quality Assurance System Contribution on SDG 11 (Sustainable Cities and Communities)

SDG 11 focuses on creating inclusive, safe, resilient, and sustainable cities, which requires addressing urban vulnerabilities to disasters. The SDG aims to make urban areas inclusive, safe, resilient, and sustainable by 2030 and this can be attained by focusing on providing adequate housing, basic services, affordable transport, green spaces, and managing waste, amidst rapid global urbanization that strains infrastructure and increases pollution. The

integration of Quality Assurance systems in urban disaster preparedness has yielded significant benefits for cities like Tokyo and Los Angeles.

- Reduced Vulnerability of Urban Populations:

QAS practices such as building code enforcement and early warning systems can reduce the vulnerability of urban populations to disasters. In Tokyo, the implementation of seismic-resistant construction standards has minimized casualties and property damage during earthquakes.

- Improved Infrastructure Resilience:

QAS ensures that infrastructure is designed and maintained to withstand disasters. Merchant and Lurie (2020) emphasize that quality control mechanisms in urban planning enhance the durability and functionality of critical infrastructure, such as bridges, roads, and hospitals. In Los Angeles, the use of GIS for wildfire management has led to the development of fire-resistant building materials and zoning regulations that limit construction in high-risk areas.

- Inclusive and Sustainable Urbanization:

The integration of QAS promotes inclusive and sustainable urbanization by involving diverse stakeholders in disaster preparedness efforts. Mitra (2016) highlights that QAS provides a systems approach to quality, enabling collaboration among government agencies, private sectors, and communities. In Tokyo, public-private partnerships have facilitated the development of advanced disaster management technologies, such as the LINE Disaster Prevention System, which allows residents to access emergency real-time information.

4.2.2 Quality Assurance System Contribution on SDG 13 (Climate Action)

SDG 13 emphasizes the need for climate action, including improving adaptive capacity and integrating climate change measures into policies and planning. Quality Assurance system plays a crucial role in achieving these objectives by standardizing climate resilience measures and promoting stakeholder accountability. The integration of Quality Assurance in disaster management represents a transformative approach to addressing climate-related hazards effectively. Sustainable Development Goal 13 (SDG 13) calls for urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts, emphasizing the necessity of preparedness, adaptation, and resilience in the face of growing environmental threats. By incorporating Quality Assurance system principles such as consistency, reliability, and adherence to standards into climate-related disaster management, stakeholders can enhance disaster preparedness, response, and long-term resilience, all while fostering accountability and collaboration globally. The increasing frequency and intensity of climate-related hazards such as floods, droughts, hurricanes, and wildfires have necessitated a robust, systematic approach to disaster preparedness and response.

5. CHIMANIMANI CASE STUDY

March 2019 in Chimanimani a district in the eastern part of Zimbabwe experienced one of the most most devastating natural disasters. The district was hit by Cyclone Idai, leaving immeasurable destruction of unprecedented magnitude in its wake. Many lives were lost, many people were reported missing, and others were displaced. The Cyclone Idai highlighted the critical need for effective disaster management practices (Mhlanga & Chirisa, 2025). Questions were raised like: Was the country prepared to manage the Cyclone Idai disaster? How effective are the disaster management systems in place? Are there enough systems to monitor the implementations of existing systems? How is the strength of the disaster risk reduction legislation and institutions in Zimbabwe in the face of meteorological hazards? The Chimanimani case study aims to demonstrate the critical role of quality assurance in enhancing disaster management outcomes in Zimbabwe.

The effectiveness of quality assurance practices in disaster management is critical for improving outcomes, especially in a context like Chimanimani which experienced natural disasters that were devastating. In order to thoroughly understand the impact of these practices, a min study was essential. This section describes the approach taken in this study, detailing the research design, data collection methods, and analysis techniques used to investigate the implementation of Quality Assurance in Zimbabwe's disaster management efforts.

5.1 Study Approach

The research employed in this study was primarily aimed at gaining an in-depth understanding of how quality assurance measures are integrated into disaster management practices in Zimbabwe focusing on Chimanimani district incident. Document Analysis research approach has been used in this paper to provide a comprehensive view of the context and practices; the study involved a thorough analysis of relevant documents. This included policy papers, disaster management plans, reports from previous disasters, and records of training programs conducted for disaster management personnel obtained from various documents (Munsaka et al 2021). Document analysis approach was crucial for understanding the formal frameworks and guidelines that govern disaster management in Zimbabwe. It provided insights into how quality assurance is integrated into official policies and the extent to which these policies are implemented in practice. The documents were sourced from online, government ministries, NGOs, and academic institutions involved in disaster management research.

The management practices examined included the planning and preparedness phases, response actions during disasters, and recovery processes post-disaster. These practices were analyzed in the context of quality assurance frameworks, focusing on how they influence the efficiency and effectiveness of disaster management outcomes. The findings from this study not only contribute to the academic discourse on disaster management but also hold practical implications for policymakers and practitioners in Zimbabwe and beyond.

5.2 Key Findings

The integration of quality assurance practices into disaster management in Zimbabwe has yielded several important findings that demonstrate significant improvements in various outcomes related to disaster preparedness, response, and recovery. During the 2019 Cyclone Idai, which had devastating effects in the eastern regions of Zimbabwe, the response was hampered by a lack of coordination and preparedness. However, post-implementation of quality assurance measures, there has been a notable improvement in how agencies prepare for such events.

Quality assurance frameworks have facilitated better training for disaster response teams, ensuring that they are well-equipped with the necessary skills and knowledge. These training programs, guided by quality assurance principles, have resulted in improved personnel readiness after the Cyclone Idai disaster. In terms of response, quality assurance practices have accelerated the emergency response process. Castañeda et al. (2022) argue that streamlining processes and eliminating inefficiencies have improved response times, allowing for quicker mobilization of resources and personnel during emergencies.

The establishment of standardized protocols and procedures has led to increased efficiency in disaster response. For example, the use of the Incident Command System (ICS) as part of a quality assurance framework has allowed for clearer communication channels and defined roles among different agencies and organizations involved in disaster management. Following the Cyclone Idai disaster Quality Assurance measures have fostered better coordination and collaboration among various entities in Zimbabwe, as observed by Mashizha et al. (2022).

By implementing thorough assessment and evaluation processes, recovery initiatives can be better tailored to meet the needs of affected communities. Quality assurance measures contribute significantly to building community resilience by promoting proactive preparedness and comprehensive recovery strategies. Dube (2020) highlights the importance of the "build-back-better" approach in disaster recovery, emphasizing that quality assurance ensures that reconstruction efforts not only restore but improve the pre-disaster condition. Mhlanga and Chirisa (2025) noted that inclusive and integrated disaster risk management policies are crucial for reducing existing vulnerabilities and enhancing resilience. These policies ensure that recovery efforts are not only immediate but also sustainable, supporting the continuous development and improvement of community resilience.

5.2.1 Challenges

Despite the positive outcomes associated with integrating quality assurance into disaster management, several challenges have been encountered during its implementation in Zimbabwe. One of the primary obstacles has been resistance to change among stakeholders. Many organizations and individuals involved in disaster management have been accustomed to traditional methods of operation that may not align with modern quality assurance practices. This resistance has often manifested in reluctance to adopt new protocols or to engage in training programs. The bureaucratic nature of Zimbabwe's governmental and

administrative structures often leads to inefficiencies and delays in decision-making processes. According to Munsaka et al. (2021), Zimbabwe's disaster management system is predominantly reactive rather than proactive, indicating a lack of institutional readiness to embrace comprehensive quality assurance measures.

While established frameworks such as ISO standards and Total Quality Management (TQM) offer a foundation for quality assurance, their adaptability to the Zimbabwean context is often constrained by local challenges and needs (Mashizha et al., 2022). Resource limitations have posed significant challenges. Zimbabwe, like many developing countries, grapples with financial constraints that affect its disaster management capabilities.

Implementing quality assurance measures often requires additional funding for training, equipment, and infrastructure. Infrastructural limitations, particularly in rural areas, have made it challenging to implement certain quality assurance practices effectively. In some cases, the lack of access to reliable communication channels has impeded the timely dissemination of information and coordination among disaster response teams. During these Chimanimani 2019 floods, for example, the absence of robust communication infrastructure led to delays in mobilizing response resources, ultimately affecting the quality of the response effort.

Socio-political factors play a critical role in influencing the effectiveness of quality assurance in disaster management. In Zimbabwe, political dynamics often impact resource allocation, prioritization of disaster management initiatives, and the overall commitment to quality assurance practices (Mhlanga & Chirisa, 2025). The socio-economic impacts of disasters further complicate the implementation of quality assurance. High levels of poverty and unemployment in Zimbabwe exacerbate vulnerabilities, making communities more susceptible to the adverse effects of natural disasters (Dube, 2020). The socio-economic context influences the capacity of communities to engage in and benefit from quality assurance initiatives, often resulting in disparities in disaster preparedness and resilience.

6. CONCLUSION

Enhancing quality assurance practices in Zimbabwe's disaster management system requires a multi-faceted approach that includes actionable recommendations, continuous evaluation and adaptation of frameworks, and fostering a culture of quality. Lessons learned from Zimbabwe's 2019 Chimanimani floods have revealed how robust quality assurance practices if implemented can improve preparedness, response strategies, and resource optimization, ultimately leading to reduced vulnerabilities and increased resilience in affected communities. By implementing these strategies, Zimbabwe can strengthen its disaster resilience, improve response efforts, and ultimately safeguard the well-being of its communities in the face of natural disasters. The Chimanimani case study also demonstrated how Quality Assurance Systems integration in disaster management can directly contribute towards SDG 11: Sustainable Cities and Communities, and SDG 13: Climate Action. The integration of quality

assurance not only leads to better disaster management outcomes but also empowers communities to build resilience and recover more effectively from disasters.

REFERENCES

- Alamdar, F., Kalantari, M., & Rajabifard, A. (2016). Towards multi-agency sensor information integration for disaster management. *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems*, 56, 68-85.
- Asl, Y. P., Dowlati, M., Babaie, J., & Seyedin, H. (2022). Integrated operations for natural disaster management: A systematic Review. *Health promotion perspectives*, 12(3), 266.
- Bongo, P. P., & Manyena, S. B. (2015). From 'government' to 'governance': tensions in disaster-resilience leadership in Zimbabwe. *Jambá: Journal of Disaster Risk Studies*, 7(1), 1-10.
- Bundy, J., Pfarrer, M. D., Short, C. E., & Coombs, W. T. (2017). Crises and crisis management: Integration, interpretation, and research development. *Journal of management*, 43(6), 1661-1692.
- Castañeda, J. A., Zodzi, A., Gonçalves, P., & Rivera, L. (2022). An analysis of CARE Zimbabwe's emergency response process flow in the Masvingo Province through Lean Six Sigma tools. *Production Planning & Control*, 33(6-7), 622-640.
- Daud, S. M. S. M., Yusof, M. Y. P. M., Heo, C. C., Khoo, L. S., Singh, M. K. C., Mahmood, M. S., & Nawawi, H. (2022). Applications of drone in disaster management: A scoping review. *Science & Justice*, 62(1), 30-42.
- Deming, W. E. 1986. *Out of the crisis*. Cambridge: Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Center for Advanced Engineering Study.
- Dube, E. (2015). Improving disaster risk reduction capacity of District Civil Protection Units in managing veld fires: A case of Mangwe District in Matabeleland South Province, Zimbabwe. *Jambá: Journal of Disaster Risk Studies*, 7(1), 1-13.
- Dube, E. (2020). The build-back-better concept as a disaster risk reduction strategy for positive reconstruction and sustainable development in Zimbabwe: A literature study. *International journal of disaster risk reduction*, 43, 101401.
- Dzvimbo, M. A., Mashizha, T. M., Zhanda, K., & Mawonde, A. (2022). Promoting sustainable development goals: Role of higher education institutions in climate and disaster management in Zimbabwe. *Jambá-Journal of Disaster Risk Studies*, 14(1), 1206.

Fan, C., Zhang, C., Yahja, A., & Mostafavi, A. (2021). Disaster City Digital Twin: A vision for integrating artificial and human intelligence for disaster management. *Journal of information management*.

Hemstock, S. (2016). Accredited qualifications for capacity development in disaster risk reduction and climate change adaptation.

International Organization for Standardization (ISO) (2020). ISO 31000 Risk Management.

Mashizha, T., & Dzvimbo, M. (2022). Promoting sustainable development goals: Role of higher education institutions in climate and disaster management in Zimbabwe. -*Journal of Disaster*.

Mavhura, E. (2018). Disaster risk reduction policy and management in Zimbabwe. In *Handbook of disaster risk reduction & management* (pp. 589-612).

Merchant, R., & Lurie, N. (2020). Social media and emergency preparedness in response to novel coronavirus. *Jama*.

Mitra, A. (2016). *Fundamentals of quality control and improvement*.

Mhlanga, G., & Chirisa, I. (2025). The Future of Managing Disasters and Risks in Zimbabwe and Beyond. In *Disaster Risks and Hazards: Practice for Resilience-Case for Zimbabwe* (pp. 285-298). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.

Munsaka, E., Mudavanhu, C., Sakala, L., Manjeru, P., & Matsvange, D. (2021). When disaster risk management systems fail: The case of Cyclone Idai in Chimanimani District, Zimbabwe. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Science*, 12(5), 689-699.

World Health Organization. (2022). *Guidelines for drinking-water quality: incorporating the first and second addenda*. World Health Organization.

BIOGRAPHICAL NOTES

Author Kudakwashe Nyakutya is an experienced Land and Engineering Surveyor based in Botswana. Holder of BSc Honours in Land Surveying, Advanced University Diploma in Disaster Management and Diploma in Quality Assurance Management. Currently finalizing the Masters degree in Disaster Management. He is currently the Zimbabwe Institute of Geomatics Executive Committee Secretary and also Botswana institute of Geomatics member. He is the founder of the NGO, Safe World Disaster Management Organization based in Zimbabwe.

CONTACTS

Kudakwashe Nyakutya
Organization: Kayp Disaster Management Consult
Address: Plot 32789 Molapo, Box 141 Francistown
City: Francistown
COUNTRY: Botswana
Tel. +26772521546
Email: nyakutyak@gmail.com
Web site: NIL

Core Author Moyo Buhlebenkosi is a five-time award winner, leader, volunteer, speaker, author and student at Gwanda State University. She has served in various survey committees include the Gwanda State University Youthmappers Club and Zimbabwe Institute of Geomatics Youth Network .She is a 2024 Humanitarian OpenStreetMap Mentee under Sir Kaluba Michael (Humanitarian OpenStreetMap Mentor and Evaluator).Buhlebenkosi Moyo is also an Open Street Map volunteer and geospatial innovation advocate .She recently contributed an article in the 9th edition of the Spatial Times Magazine Africa (the magazine is available in the geo-connect website) and the legacy project of Gwanda State University .

CONTACTS

Buhlebenkosi Moyo
Organization: Gwanda State University student
Address: Zimbabwe Republic Police Western Commonage Camp Number10, Mpopoma
City: Bulawayo
COUNTRY: ZIMBABWE
Tel. +263 77 949 5926
Email: moyobuhlebenkosi50@gmail.com
Web site: NIL